

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 5, 2004 LB 479

nominally a member of the Legislature. What that means is, in name he is, but in reality he's not.

SENATOR BAKER: And I understand your concerns. And I do believe we tightened that up considerably on page 10.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if you need some kind of definition, I can understand clearly and thoroughly why you want to put it on page 2, because that's where the definition should be. But I'm wondering about the need for the name...the word "nominally," because it might erase everything that follows it.

SENATOR BAKER: I understand.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But that's all that I have to ask. And I want to thank you for helping me as much as you did. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Are you through, Senator Chambers? Thank you. Senator Erdman, you're next, followed by Senator Cunningham and others.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I would also have a couple of questions for Senator Baker, if he would yield.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Erdman, did you wish to ask Senator Baker a question?

SENATOR ERDMAN: That was my request, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Yes.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Senator Baker, as I'm reading through your amendment, your intro--I guess I'll start there--your intro expressed the idea that there was an opinion given that you had to try to resolve. And this is your attempt to resolve what you had received an opinion on, as well as try to tighten up the language that was in the previous LB 536. Is that correct?